

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 25TH OCTOBER 2007 / 3RD KARTHIKA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1434 of 2007(S)

**-----
AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.15285/2007 Dated 08/08/2007
-----**

PETITIONER/PETITIONER

**-----
REGI JOSE,
S/O A.T.JOSE, AGED 36,
RESIDING AT ALAPPATT KUNNEL HOUSE,
PALA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.HARISH R. MENON
SRI.K.T.SHYAMKUMAR**

RESPONDENTS: 1ST & 2ND RESPONDENTS

**-----
1. SMT. BETTY, W/O SHAJU,
AGED 50, RESIDING AT THURUTHEL HOUSE,
LALAM VILLAGE, MEENACHAL TALUK,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, THE MUNICIPAL CHAIRPERSON,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, PALA.**

**2. SRI. N.LALU, S/O NARAYANAN,
AGED 48, MUNICIPAL QUARTERS,
LALAM VILLAGE, KIZHATHADIYUR KARA,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, THE MUNICIPAL SECRETARY,
PALA MUNICIPALITY, PALA.**

**BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KURIAN
SRI.MATHEW B. KURIAN
SRI.K.T.THOMAS**

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 25/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

C.C.C.No.1434 OF 2007

Dated this the 25th day of October, 2007

JUDGMENT

Today, when the matter came up, it is pointed out by the counsel for the respondents that an order has been passed on 10/10/2007 by which licence stands renewed. It is pointed out the counsel for the petitioner that the order is passed beyond the time. He also points out that it is in respect of a new room. As far as the complaint that order is passed beyond time, I feel that I need not proceed this matter in contempt. Regarding the complaint that it relates to a new room, I make it clear that though I close this contempt of court case, this will be without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to proceed the matter in the appropriate forum. Subject to the same, Contempt of Court Case is closed.

(K.M.JOSEPH, JUDGE)

SV.

